Годишњак Филозофског факултета у Новом Саду, Књига XLV-5 (2020) Annual Review of the Faculty of Philosophy, Novi Sad, Volume XLV-5 (2020)

**Ema Pandrc<sup>\*</sup> Randall A. Major** University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Philosophy UDC [811.111'367.625:811.163.41'367.625]:81'25 DOI: 10.19090/gff.2020.5.133-147 Originalni naučni rad

# ANIMAL SOUNDS AS REPORTING VERBS IN TRANSLATIONS FROM ENGLISH INTO BCMS<sup>\*\*</sup>

This paper discusses the use of animal-sound reporting verbs (e.g. grunt, bark, croak) in English literary texts and the significance they are assigned in Serbian translations. Based on a corpus of Dickens's novels Oliver Twist, David Copperfield, and Great Expectations, an analysis of animal-sound reporting verbs will be carried out in the SL and the TL texts for the purpose of learning whether the animal trait is (accurately) preserved in translations. Firstly, the translations of these verbs will be subject to componential analysis to determine if they retained the animal feature. The next step is checking if the translation solutions that do retain the animal feature accurately reflect the sound. Finally, translations that fail to convey the animal feature and the intended sound will be analyzed in order to determine the translation procedure employed. The preliminary hypothesis is that animal-sound verbs will largely be translated as their equivalent verbs (e.g. growls=reži). This research is important because animal-sound reporting verbs often serve as stylistic devices and offer glimpses into fictional characters' nature. Additionally, since few studies exist on the translation of reporting verbs from English into BCMS, this paper could draw more focus on them and shed more light on this specific matter.

Keywords: noise verbs, reporting verbs, characterization, translation procedures, animal sounds

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Reporting verbs (hereafter RVs) with visceral elements can aid in the textual representation of a literary character by lending nuance to their personation, indicating various aspects of spoken language that cannot be immediately discerned by, for example, neutral RVs such as "say" or "tell". Taking into consideration the

<sup>\*</sup> emapandrc@gmail.com

<sup>\*\*</sup> Presented at ELALT 5, March 2019.

restrictive characteristics of text when it comes to conveying such elements of spoken communication (Brüngel-Dittrich, 2005), questions arise regarding the approach to reporting verbs reflecting animal sounds (e.g. *hiss* or *chirp*, hereafter ASRVs) in the process of translation. Put more precisely, the focus of this paper lies in whether such limitations of the written medium imply the vulnerability of the animal feature of the aforementioned RVs and whether this particular feature is more prone to be disregarded in translation from English into Serbian.

The initial hypothesis is that, due to their significance and contribution to the emotional coloring and the overall image and perception of literary characters, ASRVs are far more likely to be translated into Serbian with their exact equivalents rather than with non-animal RVs. Therefore, a given animal feature is retained in the target language as well and the intended characterization preserved. The matter at hand can also be viewed in the following manner: this paper juxtaposes the restrictiveness of the written medium with respect to spoken-language aspects and the translator's aim to remain as faithful to the original as possible and attempts to discover if the former affects the latter.

### 2. THEORY, IMPLICATIONS AND QUESTIONS

In the context of the written medium, the misinterpretation of messages, as stated by Silk, does happen despite an author's efforts to achieve maximum clarity. He goes on to link such miscommunications to the written medium's inability to "convey the mood, tone, emphasis, or body language of the author" (2007: 90).

When it comes to researching the theoretical background of ASRVs, perhaps one of the most crucial steps would be to see how they are treated in different existing taxonomies due to their paralinguistic feature. Among such classifications is one elaborated by Caldas-Coulthard, focusing on five separate kinds of RVs: descriptive, illocutionary, neutral, structuring, and discourse signaling. ASRVs would be placed in the descriptive category—what sets them apart from the other four RV types is the fact that they affect the manner in which a message is being conveyed (for example, sound-wise), rather than its content (Caldas-Coulthard, 1987: 162).

This contrast of content and manner of speaking is also present in the division of speech-act verbs which was established by Leech. In his work, the author differentiates between neutral, phonically descriptive, and content-descriptive verbs (1983: 214). Not surprisingly, animal sound RVs such as *growl*, *bark*, or *roar*, fall under the category of phonically descriptive verbs, which the

author also refers to as 'noise' verbs, due to their description of the manner of speaking (1983: 214).

Noise verbs carry paralinguistic speech-features, which, according to Brown, are a given speaker's means of indicating their attitude to interlocutors more clearly. Therefore, if we take into account that "paralinguistic vocal features will reinforce what the speaker says" (1990: 113), and their unquestionable effect on and involvement in what Brown refers to as *the affective meaning* (as opposed to *the conceptual*), the significance of 'noise' verbs in the development of a literary character's image becomes even more noticeable. ASRVs are no exception here.

The literary contribution of animal sounds and the RVs associated with them is easily recognized in the works of the Victorian author Charles Dickens. Namely, Dickens was keen on using phonically descriptive verbs as a way of emphasizing certain portrayals of his two-dimensional characters. Because of this tendency, linguists have studied the varying patterns of the employment of noise RVs in his novels.

As stated by Pablo Ruano San Segundo (2018), Dickens's utilization of such RVs was far from random, as the author frequently relied on attributing certain personality traits to his characters through their speech by coloring it with carefully chosen 'noise' RVs. Furthermore, San Segundo pinpoints two particular aspects where RV pattern variations were established, and those are primarily **gender** and **characterization**.

Put more accurately, it has been shown that Dickens frequently turned to RVs like *thunder*, *boast*, or *shout* in order to more successfully associate male characters with traditionally masculine traits such as strength, self-confidence, and leadership. On the other hand, these characteristics were contrasted with those of fragileness, subservience, weakness, or emotional sensitivity, which the Victorian author associated with female characters by employing verbs like *sob*, *languish*, *moan*, and so on.

The impact of phonically descriptive RVs is highly visible in the formation of characters such as Bill Sikes from *Oliver Twist*, Uriah Heep from *David Copperfield*, or Dodge Orlick from *Great Expectations*. What San Segundo (2017) implies under characterization is, for instance, the use of ASRVs as **stylistic devices** emphasizing the darkness of evil characters. Consequently, Dickens's villains are wont to *croak*, *roar*, or *snarl*, for example, which only makes their baseness more prominent to the reader. In numerous situations, the villains are thus depicted as incapable of communicating and interacting as civilized human beings, and instead reduced to the level of the animalistic, even bestial. Taking into account the abovementioned, several questions arise with respect to animal sounds as RVs, of which this paper attempts *to raise awareness* in translation studies:

- 1) How often is the animal feature of such verbs retained in certain translations (specifically from English into BCMS)?
- 2) How is its role treated in translations?
- 3) Is it simply glossed over with a non-animal 'noise' verb with a visceral meaning?
- 4) If it is disregarded, which procedures and what kind of equivalence are employed by translators in the target language (Newmark, 1988: 68-93; Baker, 2006: 10-12, 15-16; Koller, 1995: 191-222)?

### 3. ANALYSIS

The analysis was conducted on an electronic corpus which included ASRVs from Charles Dickens's novels *Oliver Twist*, *Great Expectations*, and *David Copperfield*.<sup>1</sup> In the given works, the following ASRVs were used: **bellow**, **croak**, **growl**, **roar**, and **shriek**. Though present in far higher numbers when structures other than RVs are taken into account, they were detected in 43 instances as ASRVs. They were all checked against their BCMS translations; during this process, componential analysis was employed in order to determine the absence, that is, existence of the animal sense component of the lexical unit in the TL.

The succeeding phase involved determining if the translations which retained this component accurately reflected the sound in the SL. Because of the significance of animal sounds on characterization, it was necessary to check if the translations which retained the animal feature accurately conveyed the intended RV sound. Finally, translations not marked as precise equivalents were then analyzed in order to determine the translation procedure employed. The following table shows the verbs detected and analyzed, the novels in which they were detected, their context, their translation into BCMS, and which of those were treated as precise equivalents (marked with '+', whereas other instances were marked with '-').

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Because of the date of publication, the translations used in this analysis will be referred to as BCMS translations instead of Serbian or Serbo-Croatian.

Word	Novel	Pg.	EN	BCMS	Pg.	
bellow	GE	237	1. He faintly moaned, 'I am done for,' as the victim, and he barbarously <b>bellowed</b> , 'I'll serve you out,' as the murderer.	Kao žrtva je iznemoglim glasom jaukao: »Svršeno je!« a kao ubojica okrutno <b>vikao</b> : »Ubit ću te!« .	129	-
croak	OT	295	2. 'Nancy, dear!' <b>croaked</b> the Jew, in his usual voice. 'Did you mind me, dear?'	Nensi, čedo moje! — <b>zamumla</b> Jevrejin svojim uobičajenim glasom. — Valjda se ne ljutiš na mene?	145	-
growl	DC	164	3. 'No - no,' <b>growled</b> Mr. Barkis, reflecting about it.	»Ne, nisam«, <b>progunđa</b> gospodin Barkis razmišljajući o tome.	82	-
	DC	207	4. 'But really and truly, you know. Are you?' growled Mr. Barkis, sliding nearer to her on the seat	»Ama je l' zbilja i zaista, razumete li? Dobro se osećate?« <b>promumla</b> Barkis, dok joj se primicao na sedištu	101	-
	DC	208	5. 'I say,' <b>growled</b> Mr. Barkis, 'it was all right.'	»Slušajte«, <b>promrmlja</b> Barkis, »sve je bilo u redu«.	101	-
	DC	1130	6. 'Stop!' he <b>growled</b> to me; and wiped his hot face with his hand.	»Stojte!« <b>zareža</b> on prema meni i obrisa rukom zažareno lice.	499	+
	GE	68	7. No one seemed surprised to see him, or [] spoke a word, except that somebody in the boat <b>growled as if to</b> <b>dogs</b> , 'Give way, you!'	Čini se da se nitko nije iznenadio što ga vidi, niti [] je itko prozborio riječ, samo što netko <b>povika</b> kao da se izdire na pse: - Ej vi, uprite!	39	-
	GE	200	8. 'Shall if I like,' <b>growled</b> Orlick.	Hoću, ako me bude volja — <b>progunđa</b> Orlick.	110	-
	GE	201	9. 'You're a foul shrew, Mother Gargery,' <b>growled</b> the journeyman.	— Vi ste pogana nadžakbaba, majko Gargery — <b>zareža</b> nadničar.	111	+
	GE	202	10. 'Ah-h-h!' <b>growled</b> the journeyman, between his teeth, 'I'd hold you, if you was my wife.'	Ahhh! — <b>promrsi</b> radnik kroz zube. —Ja bih vas već držao da ste mi žena.	111	-

Table 3: ASRVs and their translations into BCMS

GE 210	11. Orlick sometimes growled, 'Beat it out, beat it out - Old Clem! '	Orlick bi ponekad zarežao: »Kujte to gvožde, da iskra skače—o Stari Cleme!«	116	+
GE 233	12. 'Halloa!' he <b>growled</b> , 'where are you two going?'	Ho ho! - <b>zareža</b> . – Kuda ćete vas dvoje?	127	+
GE 381	and you,' <b>growled</b> Drummle.	Ne želim znati što se dogodilo između Herberta i vas – <b>progunđa</b> Drummle.	209	-
GE 403	14. 'And don't blame me,' <b>growled</b> the convict I had recognized.	Nemojte mene kriviti — <b>progunđa</b> robijaš, kojeg sam prepoznao.	221	-
GE 406	<b>15.</b> 'More fool you,' <b>growled</b> the other.	Još si veća budala od njega — <b>progunđa</b> drugi.	222	-
GE 451	16 a sulky man who had been long cooling his impatient nose against an iron bar in the	neki je mrzovoljasti gledalac u prvom redu galerije, pritisnuvši i hladeći nos na željeznoj ogradi, nestrpljivo <b>zarežao</b> : ≫Sad, kad će beba na spavanje, hajdemo na večeru!≪	247	+
OT 24	17. Mr. Gamfield growled a fierce imprecation on the donkey generally, but more particularly on his eyes	Gospodin Gemfild žestoko opsova magare uopšte, a posebno njegove oči	19	-
OT 119	18. 'Swear the man,' growled Mr. Fang. with a very ill grace. 'Now, man, what have you got to say?'	Zakunite ovoga čoveka — progunđa gospodin Feng veoma ljutito. — Dakle, čoveče, šta imate da izjavite?	63	-
137 OT - 138	now!' growled a deep	Hej, kakva je to gužva, trista mu muka! — <b>zareža</b> neki debeli glas. — Ko li me je to raspalio?	72	+
OT 138	20. 'Come in, d'ye	— Ulazi kad ti kažem! — <b>promumla</b> taj krasni razbojnik.	72	-

		21. 'Didn't know, you			
ОТ	166	white-livered thief!' growled Sikes. 'Couldn't you hear the noise?'	Nisi znao, lopove matori! — <b>zagrme</b> Sajks. — Zar nisi čuo galamu?	84	-
ОТ	174	22. 'Do you hear?' growled Sikes, as Oliver hesitated, and looked round.	Čuješ li ti šta ti kažem? — <b>promrmlja</b> Sajks, budući je Oliver oklevao i obazirao se oko sebe.	88	-
OT	200	23. 'You old women never believe anything but quack-doctors, and lying story-books,' growled Mr. Grimwig.	Vi stare žene verujete samo u vračare i u prazne priče — <b>progunđa</b> gospodin Grimvig.	100	-
OT	237	24. 'Now, then!' growled Sikes, as Oliver started up; 'half past five! Look sharp, or you'll get no breakfast; for it's late as it is.'	Hajde, diži se! — <b>promrmlja</b> Sajks kad se Oliver trgao iza sna. — Pola šest je! Požuri ili ćeš ostati bez doručka; i inače smo već zadocnili.	117	-
ОТ	315	25. 'I wish I was among some of you; you'd howl the hoarser for it.' As Sikes <b>growled forth</b> <b>this imprecation</b> , with the most desperate ferocity that his desperate nature was capable of	— Da mi samo koji od vas dopadne šaka, onda bi bar znao zašto laje. <b>Psujući tako i grdeći</b> u najvećem besu za koji je bila sposobna njegova divlja priroda	155	-
ОТ	363	26 twisting himself, dexterously, from the doctor's grasp, <b>growled</b> <b>forth</b> a volley of horrid oaths, and retired into the house.	izvivši se vešto iz lekarevih ruku, <b>zasu</b> ga čitavom bujicom groznih psovki i povuče se u kuću.	176	-
ОТ	449	27. 'Oh! you've thought better of it, have you?' <b>growled</b> Sikes, marking the tear which trembled in her eye.	A, sad si nesto bolje smislila, je li? — <b>gunđao</b> je Sajks opazivši suzu koja joj se zavrtela u oku.	216	-
ОТ	453	28 but I couldn't help it, upon my honour.' 'Upon your what?' <b>growled</b> Sikes, with excessive disgust.	ali ti nisam mogao priteći u pomoć, časnu ti reč dajem. — Šta mi daješ? — <b>promumla</b> Sajks s izrazom krajnjeg gađenja na licu.	218	-

	OT	483	29. 'A bad one! I'll eat my head if he is not a bad one,' <b>growled</b> Mr. Grimwig, speaking by some ventriloquial power	Nevaljalac je to! Poješću svoju glavu ako nije nevaljalac — <b>progunđa</b> gospodin Grimving govoreći nekako iz trbuha	233	-
	ОТ	484	30. 'Do not heed my friend, Miss Maylie,' said Mr. Brownlow, 'he does not mean what he says.' 'Yes, he does,' <b>growled</b> Mr. Grimwig.	Ne obraćajte pažnju na moga prijatelja, gospođice Mejli, — reče gospodin Braunlo — i on ne misli kao što govori. — Jeste, misli — <b>progunđa</b> gospodin Grimvig.	233	-
	OT	484	31. 'He'll eat his head, if he doesn't,' <b>growled</b> Mr. Grimwig. 'He would deserve to have it knocked off, if he does,' said Mr. Brownlow.	On će pojesti svoju glavu, ako ne misli — <b>gunđao</b> je gospodin Grimvig. — Ako tako zaista misli, onda neko treba da mu je zaista razbije — reče gospodin Braunlo.	233	-
	ОТ	527	<ul><li>32. 'Obstinacy;</li><li>woman's obstinacy, I</li><li>suppose, my dear.'</li><li>'Well, I suppose it is,'</li><li>growled Sikes.</li></ul>	Inat, ženski inat, mislim, rode moj. — To je, i ništa drugo — <b>progunđa</b> Sajks.	255	-
	ОТ	568	33. 'Coming!' cried the office keeper, running out. 'Coming,' <b>growled</b> the guard.	Evo idem! — uzviknu upravnik poštanske stanice trčeći. — Ideš — <b>progunđa</b> sprovodnik.	276	-
roar	GE	451	34 on the question whether 'twas nobler in the mind to suffer, some <b>roared</b> yes, and some no	…na pitanje je li plemenitije u duši pritajiti bol, jedni <b>urlali</b> ≫Da≪, a drugi: ≫Ne≪…	248	-
	ОТ	107	35so away he went like the wind, with the old gentleman and the two boys <b>roaring</b> and shouting behind him. 'Stop thief! Stop thief!'	te je jurio kao vetar sa starim gospodinom i dvojicom dečaka koji su <b>vikali</b> i hajkali za njim. "Drž'te lopova! Drž'te lopova!"	57	-
	ОТ	133	36. 'Ha! ha! ha!' <b>roared</b> Charley Bates.	— Ha, ha, ha! — <b>kikotao</b> se Čarli Bejts.	69	-

	ОТ	354	37. He was smoking his pipe here, late at night, when all of a sudden Chickweed <b>roars out</b> , 'Here he is! Stop thief! Murder!'	Pušio je on tako svoju lulu do duboko u noć, kad se odjedanput <b>zaorio</b> Čikvidov glas: "Evo ga! Drž'te lopova! Ubicu!'	172	-
	ОТ	354	<ul> <li>38. Away goes Spyers;</li> <li>on goes Chickweed;</li> <li>round turns the people;</li> <li>everybody roars out,</li> <li>'Thieves!' and</li> </ul>	Spajers se nadade za njim, a Čikvid trči li, trči; svet se uskomešao, svi <b>viču</b> ,Drž'te lopova!'	172	-
	ОТ	354	39. At last, he couldn't help shutting 'em, to ease 'em a minute; and the very moment he did so, he hears Chickweed <b>a-roaring out</b> , 'Here he is!'	Najzad je morao da zažmuri da ih malo odmori; i tek što ih je zatvorio čuo je Čikvida <b>kako urla</b> ,Drž'te ga!'	172	-
	ОТ	505	40. 'Ha! ha!' <b>roared</b> Mr. Claypole, kicking up his legs in an ecstasy.	— Ha! ha! — <b>smejao se</b> <b>grohotom</b> i gospodin Klejpol izbacujući noge uvis od silne razdraganosti.	243	-
	OT	600	41. Some shouted to those who were nearest to set the house on fire; others <b>roared</b> to the officers to shoot him dead.	Jedni su dovikivali onima koji su bili bliže da zapale kuću; drugi su <b>grmeli</b> tražeći od policajaca da pucaju na ubicu.	291	-
shriek	GE	544	42. 'But to be proud and hard to me!' Miss Havisham quite <b>shrieked</b> , as she stretched out her arms.	Ali ponosita i okrutna prema meni! — gotovo <b>cikne</b> gospođica Havisham, ispruživši obje ruke.	302	+
	OT	599	43. 'Help!' <b>shrieked</b> the boy in a voice that rent the air.	— U pomoć! — <b>vrištao</b> je dečak glasom koji je parao vazduh.	291	-

# 4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

As can be seen in the table, *bellow* was used as an RV in 1 instance, *croak* in 1 instance, *roar* in 8 instances, *shriek* in 2, but the most striking was the use of *growl* as an ASRV, found no fewer than 31 times. Of the 43 given cases, upon analysis, 7 (16.3%) were noted as precise equivalents, as opposed to the remaining 36 (83.7%), which were cases of:

- mistranslation as many as 21 RVs (48.9%) were mistranslated (e.g. growl as gunđati; roar as kikotati se);<sup>2</sup>
- 2) synonymy detected in 11 cases (25.6%) (e.g. roar as urlati);
- 3) transposition detected in 6 cases (13.9%) (e.g. growl forth as zasuti);<sup>3</sup> or
- 4) descriptive equivalence detected in 1 case (2.3%) (e.g. *roar* as *smejati se grohotom*).

The given numbers depict an obvious negation of our initial hypothesis. The animal feature appears to be vulnerable in the analyzed translations into BCMS, with fewer than a fifth (16.3%) of the ASRVs being translated with a precise equivalent and retaining this feature. The remaining 83.7% of the translations marked with "-" in the table excluded the animal sense component and they substituted ASRVs with human noise verbs (cf. Table 1).

Another noticeable detail is that mistranslations outnumber not only precise equivalents, but also the cases of descriptive equivalence, transposition, and even synonymy. Almost a half of all 43 translations disregarded the animal feature, but also failed to reflect the presence and intensity of the visceral element (e.g. growl translated as *mumlati* is missing elements of volume, aggressiveness, etc.) contained within the source-language RV. On the other hand, through synonymy, only slightly over a quarter of the translations show the attempt to at least preserve the visceral element if not the intended animal sound. In his elaboration on synonymy as a translation procedure, Newmark states that "a synonym is only appropriate where literal translation is not possible and because the word is not important enough for componential analysis" (1988: 84). If we view the translations of the given 43 ASRVs in the light of this statement, we reach the conclusion that resorting to synonymy was unjustified due to the fact that the given noise verbs all have more precise equivalents in BCMS (e.g. bellow and roar as rikati, croak as kreketati or graktati, growl as režati, and shriek as kreštati). In light of Newmark's elaboration, we can also point out the possibility of synonymy being applied in the TL texts precisely because of the translators' underestimation of the ASRVs' role (or at least of their animal sense component) in the SL text.

It should be mentioned that the analysis was not as clear-cut as it may seem, as was the case with the translations of the verb *growl*. In three situations, this RV

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  Not only did they disregard the animal sense component, but they also failed to precisely include the visceral element present in the SL reporting verb.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Of the 6 examples of transposition, 3 were part of couplets (combined with synonymy).

was translated with the phonically descriptive verb (*pro*)mumlati, two of which were in *Oliver Twist*. The first association of the BCMS verb is a human sound, although, upon checking its dictionary definition, it was discovered that the word *mumlati* can also refer to a sound produced by bears, for example.<sup>4</sup>

Consequently, a dilemma arose as to whether the translation of *growl* as *mumlati* was to be treated in the analysis as one retaining the animal sense component in these particular works. One of the ways to avoid the danger of subjectivity in that analysis was to look at all the instances of the verb *mumlati* as a translation of any occurring ASRVs and check for any existing patterns in its employment. Thus, the dilemma was resolved upon looking into the solution of the verb *croak*, which was also translated with the verb *mumlati*. This was a strong indicator that animal sounds such as *growl* and *croak* were not differentiated in translations and that, in the case of the verb *mumlati*, the focus was not kept on (the nuancing of) the animal sense component. Instead, a human sound was consistently used and is present in most cases throughout the translation (we also notice the synonyms (*pro*)gunđati, (*pro*)mrmljati, and *promrsiti* as translations of *growl*).

The aforementioned dilemma also led to the possible treatment of the verb (*pro*)*mumlati* as a translation of *growl* as an instance of synonymy, in which case the verbs (*pro*)*mrmljati* and *promrsiti* could have been seen as more acceptable solutions, as they are synonymous with the verb (*pro*)*mumlati*. Nevertheless, as previously elaborated, the misrepresentation of the animal feature was determined, resulting in the RV (*pro*)*mumlati* being treated as a case of mistranslation. Furthermore, if back-translated, (*pro*)*mumlati*, (*pro*)*gundati*, (*pro*)*mrmljati*, and *promrsiti* end up in English as *mumble*, *grumble*, and *mutter*. Though some of these verbs do convey a note of displeasure or negativity, they do not remain faithful to the far more intense visceral elements of hostility and aggression, contained within the verb *growl* or its precise BCMS equivalent *režati*. Furthermore, the lack of the animal feature in the verbs (*pro*)*gundati*, (*pro*)*mrmljati*, and *promrsiti* impacts the formation and interpretation of their respective literary characters, softening them and altering their emotional coloring. This is one of the main reasons why they are marked as mistranslations of the RV *growl*.

On the basis of what has been detected in these three novels, the animal sense component is frequently assigned less significance, and the same seems to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Vujanić, M., Gortan-Premk, D., Dešić, M., et al. (2011). *Rečnik srpskoga jezika*. Novi Sad: Matica srpska, pp. 729, 1052.

apply to the source-language RVs' visceral sense components. This is of questionable acceptability because the source-language RVs and the sounds they convey were purposefully employed by Dickens as a stylistic device with a specific function (San Segundo, 2017).

### 5. CONCLUDING REMARKS AND POSSIBLE FURTHER RESEARCH

The findings of this analysis suggest that, in the abovementioned novels, the translations of ASRVs interfere with the author's stylistic devices and their effectiveness. Considering the given suggestion, an entire topic for further research has emerged. By combining the principles of characterization in literature with an analysis of ASRVs as components of it, and by analyzing translations of English texts into BCMS, much can be learned about the skill and art of translation. First, since ASRVs lend a hue to a character's personation (i.e. how it is that he or she communicates with others), if the translation strategies employed preserve that hue, the emotional coloring will also surface in the TL. Conversely, elements of the character's personation will be lost. It follows then than further research could be done to discover how characters are perceived in the TL culture, if the readership's reaction to them is similar to that of the SL culture. Second, although the findings of this paper are interesting, it must be said that the sample used was limited. It is difficult to draw larger conclusions based on three books by one author and four translators. Using a large corpus of literary works in English that have been translated into BCMS should shed more light on the accuracy and consistency of translators.

Likewise, it should be noted that the SL texts were all at least 150 years old (the translations more than 40), and it would be useful to examine newer texts to see if the situation has changed. The lexical field of RVs is quite large in English. It would be worthwhile to examine a larger corpus to establish how large that field is in BCMS and to see whether those RVs are used with the same flexibility and frequency in BCMS texts. Moreover, it would be interesting to examine how translators of those texts into English cope with RVs of all sorts. Finally, the conclusions drawn from such studies would be quite useful to future translators, helping them to ensure accuracy and consistency.

### Ema Pandrc, Randall A. Major

# ŽIVOTINJSKI ZVUCI KAO UVODNI GLAGOLI U PREVODIMA SA ENGLESKOG NA SRPSKI

#### Rezime

Ovaj rad se bavi upotrebom uvodnih glagola koji se odnose na životinjske zvuke kao što su *režati, lajati, kreketati, graktati,* itd. Takvi glagoli se koriste u književnim tekstovima radi karakterizacije likova, tj. upotrebljavaju se da bi pisac efektnije istakao određene osobine datog lika. Stoga se može reći da je njihova uloga od izrazitog značaja i da se ona treba imati u vidu prilikom prevođenja književnih dela. Značaj ovog rada se, dakle, ogleda u korisnosti uvida u to koliko se u prevodima sa engleskog vodi računa o tačnosti i usaglašenosti uvodnih glagola ovoga tipa.

Krenuvši od hipoteze da se u većini slučajeva prevodioci opredeljuju za precizne ekvivalente da bi sačuvali karakterizaciju likova u ciljnom tekstu, sastavili smo elektronski korpus od tri romana Čarlsa Dikensa (*Oliver Tvist, Velika očekivanja* i *David Koperfild*), kako u originalu tako i u prevodu, da bismo stekli uvid u prevodilačka rešenja. Zatim smo sastavili spisak uvodnih glagola koji sadrže životinjske zvuke na engleskom i proverili koji od njih se javljaju u gorepomenutim romanima u originalu. Naredni korak je zahtevao obeležavanje i izdvajanje svih rečenica u kojima su pronađeni životinjski uvodni glagoli, što je obezbedilo uslove za narednu fazu rada: traženje prevoda datih rečenica i izdvajanje istih zarad detaljnije analize i poređenja sa njihovim originalom.

Sledeći korak podrazumevao je vršenje analize značenjskih komponenata prevedenih uvodnih glagola, i to u cilju dolaženja do tačnog broja prevodilačkih rešenja čije je značenje zadržalo životinjsku komponentu. Naravno, da bi se rešenje smatralo preciznim ekvivalentom, bilo je potrebno da, pored zadržavanja životinjske komponente, precizno prenese u ciljni jezik zvuk koji je autor zamislio. Poslednja faza analize predstavljala je određivanje prevodilačkih procedura koje su prevodioci upotrebili u slučajevima koje nismo tretirali kao precizne ekvivalente.

Engleski glagoli koje smo pronašli u ovim romanima su **bellow**, **croak**, **growl**, **roar**, i **shriek**, sve skupa upotrebljenih kao **uvodni** glagoli u 43 slučaja. Od toga, samo 16,3% činili su precizni ekvivalenti, dok je životinjska značenjska komponenta bila izuzeta u 83,7% slučajeva, što se primetno kosi sa uspostavljenom hipotezom. Naročito je interesantan i još jedan podatak izveden iz analize, a to je da je gotovo polovina glagola (48,9%) pogrešno prevedena. Zatim sledi upotreba sinonimije kao prevodilačke procedure, koja je prisutna u 25,6% slučajeva, transpozicija (13,9%, od kojih je pola kombinovano sa sinonimijom) i deskriptivna ekvivalencija (2,3%, tj. samo jedan slučaj). Nizak procenat slučajeva sinonimije i visok procenat pogrešnih prevoda takođe pokazuju da, pored životinjske komponente, zanemareno je i ophođenje i sveukupno psihofizičko stanje lika. Date brojke nas stoga dovode do zaključka da je uloga ove vrste uvodnih glagola potcenjena u

prevodima i da se odsustvo određenih značenjskih komponenti negativno odražava na njihov učinak kao stilskog sredstva. Posledica tog negativnog uticaja je ublažavanje i ometanje karakterizacije književnih likova.

*Ključne reči:* uvodni glagoli, životinjski zvukovi, karakterizacija, književna dela, prevodilačke procedure, značenjske komponente

#### SOURCES

- Dickens, C. (1850). David Copperfield. London: Bradbury and Evans.
- Dickens, C. (1861). Great Expectations. London: Chapman and Hall.
- Dickens, C. (1838). Oliver Twist. London: Richard Bentley.
- Dikens, Č. (1974). *David Koperfild*. Beograd: Nolit. (translated by Mihailo Đorđević & Jugoslav Đorđević).
- Dikens, Č. (2005). *Velika Očekivanja*. Zagreb: Globus media. (translated by Zlatko Gorjan).
- Dikens, Č. (1969). Oliver Tvist. Beograd: Nolit. (translated by Božidar Marković).
- Dickens, C. David Copperfield. https://www.planetebook.com/free-ebooks/davidcopperfield.pdf
- Dickens, C. *Great Expectations*. http://www.planetpublish.com/wp-content/ uploads/2011/11/Great\_Expectations\_NT.pdf
- Dickens, C. Oliver Twist. https://www.planetebook.com/free-ebooks/oliver-twist.pdf
- Dikens, Č. *David Koperfild*. https://kupdf.net/download/268-arls-dikens-david-koperfild\_5afe6a30e2b6f50716237c27\_pdf
- Dikens, Č. Velika Očekivanja. https://issuu.com/vesnasavic6/docs/velika\_ ocekivanja\_-\_charles\_dickens
- Dikens, Č. *Oliver Tvist.* https://www.scribd.com/document/332216786/%C4% 8Carls-Dikens-Oliver-Tvist-pdf

### REFERENCES

- Baker, M. (1992; 2006) In Other Words. New York, Routledge.
- Brown, G. (1990). Listening to Spoken English. Harlow, U.K.: Longman Group Ltd.
- Brüngel-Dittrich, M. (2005). Speech Presentation in the British and German Press. Berlin: Peter Lang. [As cited in: San Segundo, P. (2017). Reporting verbs as a stylistic device in the creation of fictional personalities in literary texts. *Atlantis*, 39 (2), 105-124.]

- Caldas-Coulthard, C. (1987). Reported speech in written narrative texts. In: Malcolm Coulthard (ed.) (1987). *Discussing Discourse*. Birmingham: University of Birmingham.149-167.
- Hatim, B. and Munday, J. (2004) *Translation: An Advanced Resource Book*. New York: Routledge.
- Koller, W. (1995). [As cited in Hatim and Munday (2004): "The Concept of Equivalence and the Object of Translation Studies", *Target* 7:2: 191-222.]
- Leech, G. (1983). Principles of Pragmatics. London & New York: Longman.
- Newmark, P. (1988). A Textbook of Translation. Hempstead, U.K.: Prentice Hall International.
- San Segundo, P. (2017). Reporting verbs as a stylistic device in the creation of fictional personalities in literary texts. *Atlantis*, 39 (2), 105-124.
- San Segundo, P. (2018). *CLiC and Dickens's not-so-conspicuous techniques of characterisation: Reporting verbs.* [Blog post] Retrieved from <u>https://blog.bham.ac.uk/clic-dickens/2018/01/13/clic-and-dickenss-reporting-verbs/</u>

Silk, D. (2007). Bee Parables. Maitland, FL: Xulon Press.

Vujanić, M.-Gortan-Premk, D.-Dešić, M., et al. (2011). *Rečnik srpskoga jezika*. Novi Sad: Matica srpska.